UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/598,613	05/29/2007	Marc Peuker	59109US004	7832	
	7590 10/19/201 TVE PROPERTIES CO	EXAM	EXAMINER		
PO BOX 33427 ST. PAUL, MN 55133-3427			PAGAN, JEN	PAGAN, JENINE MARIE	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3728		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			10/19/2011	ELECTRONIC	

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

LegalUSDocketing@mmm.com

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/598,613	PEUKER ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
JENINE PAGAN	3728		

3	LAUIIIIICI	AIT OILL						
	JENINE PAGAN	3728						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
	THE REPLY FILED 26 September 2011 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appl for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C</li> </ol>	the same day as filing a Notice of replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, v with 37 CFR 41.31; or	which places the r (3) a Request					
periods:	-fab- fin-l-si-ski							
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.  b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In								
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO								
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07( Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date		96(a) and the appropriat	to extension for					
Extensions of little may be obtained unlear 37 CPT in Total, in the date to purpose of determining the period of ex under 37 CPT in 170, all calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL.	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as					
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	iled within two month	s of the date of					
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).								
AMENDMENTS								
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I	out prior to the date of filing a brief,	will not be entered be	ecause					
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);								
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);								
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet	ter form for appeal by materially red	ducing or simplifying t	he issues for					
appeal; and/or								
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.						
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).								
4. 🔲 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).								
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):								
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>								
7. \( \subseteq \) For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) \( \subseteq \) will not be entered, or b) \( \subseteq \) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.								
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:								
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 11-19.								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>								
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome all rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail	s to provide a					
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER								
Machine the request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:  See Continuation Sheet.								
Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).     Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)							
/Mickey Yu/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3728	/Jenine M Pagan/ Examiner, Art Unit 3728							

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The Applicant argues that the knob (19) of Pierick is immovable and can not move relative to the plunger 16/18 and therefore can not be modified by the movable plunger 1 of or Ratoliff, the Examiner resepectfully disagrees. The knob 19 of Pierick kilds onto the applicator member 16/18 and therefore is movable relative to each other, the reference of Ratoliff discloses that it was known in the art to use a plunger 16 to assist the movement of a material in a cylinder chamber 14. Therefore, the references taken as a whole, it would have been obvious to modify Pierick to adopt the plunger arrangement as taught by Ratoliff for an assisted material movement.